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## Cobaltocenium trifluoromethanesulfonate

In the structure of cobaltocenium trifluoromethanesulfonate [systematic name: $\operatorname{bis}\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate], $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ or $\left[\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}\right]$ [OTf], the asymmetric unit contains three independent anions, together with two full and two half-cations. Two of the cations reside on crystallographic inversion centers.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was isolated in quantitative yield from the reaction of cobaltocene with indium(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate ([In][OTf]) during an investigation of the reactivity of the indium reagent with some transition metal metallocenes (Andrews \& Macdonald, 2005).


(I)

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains three anions, in which all atoms are located in general positions, and a total of three $\left[\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}\right]$ cations. Two of the $\left[\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}\right]$ cations (containing atoms



Figure 1
A view of the contents of the asymmetric unit of (I), with the two centrosymmetric cations completed by inversion symmetry. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. [Symmetry codes: (A) $-x, 1-y,-z$ for the Co 3 cation; $-x,-y,-z$ for the Co4 cation.]
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Co 1 and Co 2 ) are also situated in general positions, and two half-cations (containing atoms Co 3 and Co 4 ) are located such that the Co atoms are on the inversion centers at $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ (atom Co 3 ) and $(0,0,0)$ (atom Co 4 ). The contents of the asymmetric unit (including complete symmetry-generated cations) are depicted in Fig. 1, and a diagram of the packing of the unit cell is presented in Fig. 2.

The indistinguishable $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ distances within each of the trifluoromethanesulfonate anions are consistent with the completely delocalized structure expected for an unperturbed trifluoromethanesulfonate anion. While the Cp-centroid-Co distances are essentially the same for all of the cations [1.629 (4)-1.637 (4) Å], the conformations of the cations differ slightly depending on their locations in the unit cell. The cations containing atoms Co 3 and Co 4 have perfectly staggered Cp rings enforced by the $\overline{1}$ symmetry. In contrast, the cations containing atoms Co 1 and Co 2 are not subject to symmetry constraints and the rings are rotated slightly (ca $10^{\circ}$ for Co 1 and ca $7^{\circ}$ for Co 2 ) from a staggered arrangement. Overall, the structures of both components are consistent with those reported previously in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.26; Allen, 2002).

In the crystal structure of (I), there are numerous weak C $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions (Table 2).

## Experimental

The title salt, bis $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate, was obtained in quantitative yield from the reaction of $\operatorname{bis}\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(II) with indium(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate, as described previously by Andrews \& Macdonald (2005). Suitable crystals of (I) were obtained by the slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of the salt in a nitrogen-filled glove box.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$
$M_{r}=338.18$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=16.326$ (3) $\AA$
$b=13.119$ (3) $\AA$
$c=17.644$ ( 3 ) $\AA$
$\beta=106.069(2)^{\circ}$
$V=3631.4(12) \AA^{3}$
$Z=12$
$D_{x}=1.856 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 5242
$\quad$ reflections
$\theta=3.0-27.3^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.63 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=173(2) \mathrm{K}$
Block, yellow
$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.466, T_{\text {max }}=0.614$
39731 measured reflections

## Refinement

[^0]

Figure 2
A plot, viewed down the $b$ axis, of the unit-cell contents of (I). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1
Selected bond distances ( A ).

| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $2.029(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 3-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $2.035(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $2.025(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 3-\mathrm{C} 32$ | $2.022(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $2.012(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 3-\mathrm{C} 33$ | $2.023(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $2.027(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 3-\mathrm{C} 34$ | $2.020(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $2.031(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 3-\mathrm{C} 35$ | $2.019(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $2.028(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 4-\mathrm{C} 41$ | $2.017(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $2.034(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 4-\mathrm{C} 42$ | $2.022(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $2.011(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 4-\mathrm{C} 43$ | $2.010(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $2.022(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 4-\mathrm{C} 44$ | $2.007(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{C} 110$ | $2.038(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co} 4-\mathrm{C} 45$ | $2.010(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $2.023(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 11$ | $1.439(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $2.020(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 12$ | $1.434(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 23$ | $2.027(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 13$ | $1.434(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 24$ | $2.038(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{O} 21$ | $1.446(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 25$ | $2.020(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{O} 22$ | $1.441(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $2.018(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{O} 23$ | $1.431(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 27$ | $2.032(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{O} 31$ | $1.431(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 28$ | $2.029(8)$ | $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{O} 32$ | $1.431(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 29$ | $2.011(8)$ | $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{O} 33$ | $1.438(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 2-\mathrm{C} 210$ | $2.016(7)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11-H11..O12 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.43 | 3.285 (10) | 149 |
| C16-H16 ${ }^{\text {O }}$ O2 $2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.56 | 3.474 (11) | 161 |
| C17-H17...O31 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.48 | 3.203 (10) | 133 |
| C19-H19...O13 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.56 | 3.429 (10) | 152 |
| $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{O} 21{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.58 | 3.221 (10) | 125 |
| C23-H23..O211ii | 0.95 | 2.43 | 3.347 (10) | 162 |
| C24-H24...O33 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.95 | 2.55 | 3.465 (10) | 161 |
| C26-H26...O31 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.95 | 2.57 | 3.249 (10) | 128 |
| C28-H28...O21 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.95 | 2.36 | 3.243 (10) | 154 |
| C29-H29...O22 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.57 | 3.438 (11) | 152 |
| $\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{H} 32 \cdots \mathrm{O} 33^{\text {i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.47 | 3.245 (10) | 138 |
| C41-H41..O12 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.53 | 3.332 (12) | 142 |
| $\mathrm{C} 42-\mathrm{H} 42 \cdots \mathrm{O} 32^{\text {i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.45 | 3.334 (11) | 155 |
| C210-H210 $\cdots$ O12 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.57 | 3.425 (9) | 151 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (iii) $x, y+1, z$; (iv)
$-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2}$; (vii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.

## metal-organic papers

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.95 \AA$. They were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. The top three peaks in the final difference Fourier map are all above $1.0 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$. The most intense peak has an electron density of $1.39 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$ and is located $1.045 \AA$ from atom Co 3 toward the centroid of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ring. Similarly, the other two peaks are located at distances of $1.085 \AA\left(1.28 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}\right)$ and $1.043 \AA\left(1.25 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}\right)$ from atom Co 1 and are also oriented toward the centroids of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ rings labeled $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 110$ and $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 15$, respectively. The high value of $R_{\text {int }}$ is due to the poor quality of the crystal. Numerous attempted recrystallizations failed to yield crystals of superior quality.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINTPlus (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PLATON (Spek, 2003) and WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The funding that has enabled this work was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Ontario Innovation Trust and the Ontario Research and Development Challenge Fund (University of Windsor Center for Catalysis and Materials Research).
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[^0]:    Refinement on $F^{2}$
    $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.072$
    $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.212$
    $S=1.04$
    8245 reflections
    517 parameters
    H-atom parameters constrained

