Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Christopher G. Andrews and Charles L. B. Macdonald*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada N9B 3P4

Correspondence e-mail: cmacd@uwindsor.ca

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.012 Å R factor = 0.072 wR factor = 0.212 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Cobaltocenium trifluoromethanesulfonate

In the structure of cobaltocenium trifluoromethanesulfonate [systematic name: $bis(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate], [Co(C₅H₅)₂](CF₃O₃S) or [CoCp₂]-[OTf], the asymmetric unit contains three independent anions, together with two full and two half-cations. Two of the cations reside on crystallographic inversion centers.

Received 4 August 2005 Accepted 20 September 2005 Online 28 September 2005

Comment

The title compound, (I), was isolated in quantitative yield from the reaction of cobaltocene with indium(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate ([In][OTf]) during an investigation of the reactivity of the indium reagent with some transition metal metallocenes (Andrews & Macdonald, 2005).



The asymmetric unit of (I) contains three anions, in which all atoms are located in general positions, and a total of three $[CoCp_2]$ cations. Two of the $[CoCp_2]$ cations (containing atoms



Figure 1

A view of the contents of the asymmetric unit of (I), with the two centrosymmetric cations completed by inversion symmetry. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (A) -x, 1 - y, -z for the Co3 cation; -x, -y, -z for the Co4 cation.]

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Co1 and Co2) are also situated in general positions, and two half-cations (containing atoms Co3 and Co4) are located such that the Co atoms are on the inversion centers at $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ (atom Co3) and (0, 0, 0) (atom Co4). The contents of the asymmetric unit (including complete symmetry-generated cations) are depicted in Fig. 1, and a diagram of the packing of the unit cell is presented in Fig. 2.

The indistinguishable S–O distances within each of the trifluoromethanesulfonate anions are consistent with the completely delocalized structure expected for an unperturbed trifluoromethanesulfonate anion. While the Cp-centroid–Co distances are essentially the same for all of the cations [1.629 (4)–1.637 (4) Å], the conformations of the cations differ slightly depending on their locations in the unit cell. The cations containing atoms Co3 and Co4 have perfectly staggered Cp rings enforced by the $\overline{1}$ symmetry. In contrast, the cations containing atoms Co1 and Co2 are not subject to symmetry constraints and the rings are rotated slightly (*ca* 10° for Co1 and *ca* 7° for Co2) from a staggered arrangement. Overall, the structures of both components are consistent with those reported previously in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.26; Allen, 2002).

In the crystal structure of (I), there are numerous weak C– $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ interactions (Table 2).

Experimental

The title salt, $bis(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate, was obtained in quantitative yield from the reaction of $bis(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(II) with indium(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate, as described previously by Andrews & Macdonald (2005). Suitable crystals of (I) were obtained by the slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of the salt in a nitrogen-filled glove box.

Crystal data

$[Co(C_5H_5)_2](CF_3O_3S)$	$D_x = 1.856 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 338.18$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 5242
a = 16.326 (3) Å	reflections
b = 13.119 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.0-27.3^{\circ}$
c = 17.644 (3) Å	$\mu = 1.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 106.069 (2)^{\circ}$	T = 173 (2) K
V = 3631.4 (12) Å ³	Block, yellow
Z = 12	$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	8245 independent reflections
diffractometer	3836 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.111$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $\begin{array}{l} h = -20 \rightarrow 20 \\ k = -16 \rightarrow 17 \end{array}$

 $= -22 \rightarrow 22$

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000) $T_{min} = 0.466, T_{max} = 0.614$ 39731 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.077P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.072$	+ 11.3024P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.212$	where $P = (\bar{F_{0}}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/2$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
8245 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
517 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.73 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	



Figure 2

A plot, viewed down the b axis, of the unit-cell contents of (I). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1 Selected bond distances (Å).

Co1-C11	2.029 (7)	Co3-C31	2.035 (7)
Co1-C12	2.025 (7)	Co3-C32	2.022 (7)
Co1-C13	2.012 (8)	Co3-C33	2.023 (7)
Co1-C14	2.027 (7)	Co3-C34	2.020 (7)
Co1-C15	2.031 (7)	Co3-C35	2.019 (7)
Co1-C16	2.028 (8)	Co4-C41	2.017 (9)
Co1-C17	2.034 (7)	Co4-C42	2.022 (8)
Co1-C18	2.011 (8)	Co4-C43	2.010 (7)
Co1-C19	2.022 (8)	Co4-C44	2.007 (8)
Co1-C110	2.038 (7)	Co4-C45	2.010 (8)
Co2-C21	2.023 (7)	S1-O11	1.439 (5)
Co2-C22	2.020 (7)	S1-O12	1.434 (5)
Co2-C23	2.027 (7)	S1-O13	1.434 (6)
Co2-C24	2.038 (7)	S2-O21	1.446 (5)
Co2-C25	2.020 (7)	S2-O22	1.441 (5)
Co2-C26	2.018 (7)	S2-O23	1.431 (6)
Co2-C27	2.032 (7)	S3-O31	1.431 (5)
Co2-C28	2.029 (8)	S3-O32	1.431 (6)
Co2-C29	2.011 (8)	\$3-O33	1.438 (5)
Co2-C210	2.016 (7)		

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C11-H11O12 ⁱ	0.95	2.43	3.285 (10)	149
$C16-H16\cdots O22^{ii}$	0.95	2.56	3.474 (11)	161
C17-H17···O31 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.48	3.203 (10)	133
C19−H19···O13 ⁱ	0.95	2.56	3.429 (10)	152
$C21 - H21 \cdots O21^{iii}$	0.95	2.58	3.221 (10)	125
C23-H23···O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.43	3.347 (10)	162
C24−H24···O33 ^{iv}	0.95	2.55	3.465 (10)	161
$C26-H26\cdots O31^{iv}$	0.95	2.57	3.249 (10)	128
$C28-H28\cdots O21^{v}$	0.95	2.36	3.243 (10)	154
C29-H29···O22 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.57	3.438 (11)	152
C32-H32···O33 ⁱ	0.95	2.47	3.245 (10)	138
$C41 - H41 \cdots O12^{ii}$	0.95	2.53	3.332 (12)	142
$C42-H42\cdots O32^{i}$	0.95	2.45	3.334 (11)	155
$C210{-}H210{\cdot}{\cdot}{\cdot}O12^{iii}$	0.95	2.57	3.425 (9)	151

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z - 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iii) x, y + 1, z; (iv) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (vii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H distances of 0.95 Å. They were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The top three peaks in the final difference Fourier map are all above 1.0 e A⁻³. The most intense peak has an electron density of 1.39 e A⁻³ and is located 1.045 Å from atom Co3 toward the centroid of the C₅H₅ ring. Similarly, the other two peaks are located at distances of 1.085 Å (1.28 e A⁻³) and 1.043 Å (1.25 e A⁻³) from atom Co1 and are also oriented toward the centroids of the C₅H₅ rings labeled C16–C110 and C11–C15, respectively. The high value of R_{int} is due to the poor quality of the crystal. Numerous attempted recrystallizations failed to yield crystals of superior quality.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PLATON (Spek, 2003) and WinGX (Farrugia, 1999). The funding that has enabled this work was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Ontario Innovation Trust and the Ontario Research and Development Challenge Fund (University of Windsor Center for Catalysis and Materials Research).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Andrews, C. G. & Macdonald, C. L. B. (2005). J. Organomet. Chem. In the press.
- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL, SMART and SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2000). SADABS. Version 2.03. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.